

# Honors Multivariable Calculus : : Class 25

March 6, 2024

Ahmed Saad Sabit, Rice University

For all  $a$  and for all  $b$  there exist a  $c$  is a (statement  $S$  involving  $a, b, c$ ). For all  $b$  there exists  $c$  such that for all  $a$  Which statement might be easier to prove here? Statement 2 is harder to prove.

The difficulty in  $\epsilon - \delta$  is choosing the  $\delta$ . What is the statement  $f$  is continuous on  $D$  of the form? Most likely statement 1. That's for because  $\forall x$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that - something.

Definition 1.  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $D$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in D$  if  $|\vec{y} - \vec{x}| < \delta$  then  $|f(\vec{y}) - f(\vec{x})| < \epsilon$ .

An example can be  $f(x) = 4x$  to be uniform continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ , then if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|y - x| < \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ , we have,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = |4y - 4x| = 4|y - x| < \epsilon$$

□

Another example,  $f(x) = x^2$  is not a uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note about the  $\Delta x$  for  $\Delta f$ , moving to the left, we need narrower and narrower tolerance around  $x$  that  $\Delta x$  gets smaller. So there is not a single  $\delta$  that can be universally okay.

**Proof.** We want to find one counter example which will totally negate the statement.

Choose  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then we are trying to claim that there is no  $\delta$  that works. So for any  $\delta > 0$  we can find an  $x$  and choose it such that  $x$  is greater than  $\frac{1}{\delta}$ . Then what happens when we take  $y = x + \frac{\delta}{2}$  then we get

$$f(y) - f(x) = \left(x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2 - x^2 = \delta x + \frac{\delta^2}{4} > \delta x > \epsilon$$

□

Theorem 1. If  $D$  is compact and  $f$  is continuous on  $D$  then  $f$  is a uniformly continuous on  $D$ . This is a happy fact.

**Proof.** Analysis

□

Theorem 2. Proposition: If  $f$  is continuous on a box  $D$  then  $f$  is integrable on  $D$ . Here  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define  $\epsilon' = \frac{\epsilon}{\text{vol of } D}$ . Uniform continuity of  $f$  on  $D$  means there exists an  $\delta > 0$  that  $\vec{y}, \vec{x} \in D$  with  $|\vec{y} - \vec{x}| < \delta$  then  $|f(\vec{y}) - f(\vec{x})| < \epsilon'$ . Pick a partition  $P$  such that  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$  are in the same piece  $P$  then  $|\vec{y} - \vec{x}| < \delta$ .

So on each piece the max of  $f$  subtracted from  $min$  value of  $f$  :

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \sum_{\text{pieces}} (\text{vol of piece})(\max \text{ value of } f \text{ on piece} - \min \text{ value of } f \text{ on piece}) <$$

$$< \sum_{\text{pieces}} (\text{vol of piece})\epsilon' = \text{vol}(D)\epsilon' = e$$

□

Before we move to non-boxes, what about  $f$  is non continuous?

Definition 2. A set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has content 0 or content zero if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$  finitely many boxes  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  such that  $x \subset \cup B_i$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}(B_i) < \epsilon$$

$\subset \cup \cap \in$

Theorem 3. Proposition: If the set of the discontinuities of  $f$  on the box  $D$  is content zero, then  $f$  is integrable on  $D$ . When we are trying to integrate functions it's important to remember that our functions are bounded.

**Proof.**  $D$  and we are not assuming  $f$  is continuous. In the box  $D$  imagine some line where  $X$  is the set of discontinuities. Choose  $P$  partition such that the pieces of  $P$  that intersect  $X$  that have total volumen  $<$  (fill in the blank later). (by  $X$ 's content zero.)

$f$  is uniformly continuous outside of those boxes, choose  $P$  also such that if  $\vec{y}, \vec{x}$  are in a single piece of outside of these boxes then  $|f(\vec{y}) - f(\vec{x})| <$  (fill in box).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\text{vol of piece})(\min - \max) \\ &= \sum_{\text{piece that contain X}} (\text{vol}) |\min - \max| + \sum_{\text{others}} (\text{vol}) |\min - \max| \end{aligned}$$

Now the boxes around the discontinuous part can be taken really small though the min - max would not be small.

$$\begin{aligned} < \sum_{\text{pieces containing X}} (\text{vol})(\text{overall max} - \text{over min of f on D}) + \sum_{\text{other pieces}} (\text{vol}) \epsilon' \\ < (\text{overall max} - \text{overall min}) \epsilon'' + (\text{vol}D)\epsilon' < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

□