## Honors Multivariable Calculus : : Class 04

January 17, 2024

Ahmed Saad Sabit, Rice University

Forward direction was proved in last class.

$$
\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}}f(\vec{x})=\vec{L}
$$

if and only if  $\forall$  sequence  $\{x_k\}$  with  $\vec{x}_k \rightarrow \vec{a}$  (but not equal) we have  $\{f(\vec{x})\} \rightarrow \vec{L}$ .

**Proof.** Let's prove the statement in reverse. Let's assume the limit is not  $\overline{L}$ . Suppose

$$
\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}}f(\vec{x})\neq\vec{L}
$$

We will put our limit definition to work. Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta > 0$ .

There exists  $\vec{x}$  not equal ot  $\vec{a}$ , such that  $|\vec{x} - \vec{a}| < \delta$  but  $|f(\vec{x})| \to \vec{L} \geq \epsilon$ 

There is an  $\epsilon$  around  $\vec{L}$ , that no matter what  $\delta$  (which is around  $\vec{x}$ ), there is an  $\vec{x}$  is within this periphery of  $\delta$ , but doing the mapping the  $f(\vec{x})$  ends up being outside of the  $\epsilon$  ball.

How to get a sequence out of this?  $\epsilon$  is fixed but  $\delta$  is anything above 0.

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , letting  $\delta$  as  $\frac{1}{k}$ , we can find  $\vec{x}_k$  satisfying,

$$
|\vec{x}-\vec{a}|<\frac{1}{k}
$$

But  $f(\vec{x}_k) - \vec{L}$  is still outside of  $\epsilon$  ball.  $(|f(\vec{x}_k) - \vec{L}| \geq \epsilon)$ . Now we have sequence  $\{\vec{x}_k\}$  which converges to  $\vec{a}$  and we have the sequence  $f(\vec{x}_k)$  of this thing which never gets within the  $\epsilon$  of  $\vec{L}$ , so it doesn't converge.  $\Box$ 

Ruden Analysis for more, Davidson and Donnsig.

How can we describe  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  if we want to solve for  $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ . Domain in multivariable can look weird,

First we need to define what points are legitimate to take a limit off? This is the valid inputs that we can give our function to throw out  $f(\vec{x})$ .

Another examples, let's say our domain is Z in R. Does it make sense to take a limit? Taking a limit towards 2 doesn't make sense because though 2 is in the domain it is we are not really intreested in  $x = 2$ .

Definition 1. If  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a limit point of *D* if you can go arbitrarily close to  $\vec{a}$  without equalling  $\vec{a}$  itself. Hence, if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  that  $\exists \vec{x} \in D$  without  $\vec{x} \neq \vec{a}$  but  $|\vec{x} - \vec{a}| < \epsilon$ . Or you can say, for  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $B_{\epsilon}(\vec{a})$  contains a point in *D* that is not  $\vec{a}$  itself.

$$
B_{\epsilon}(\vec{a}) \cap (D \smallsetminus \{\vec{a}\}) \neq \phi
$$

Talk about limits if the domain is  $\mathbb{O}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . What does it mean to take a limit in such case?

Definition 2. Now

 $f: D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 



Figure 1: domain problem in multivariable calculus

and  $\vec{a}$  is a limit point in  $D$ , then,

$$
\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}}f(\vec{x})=\vec{L}
$$

and  $\vec{x} \subset D$ , everything else is the same as the definition we did before. We are interested in the intersection of the ball and the domain.